Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,415	BAKKER-ARKEMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending (After-final rejection)</u>		
(1) Raymond J. Henley III.	(3)		
(2) Andrew J. Leon.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 March 2005	Time: <u>AM (e.s.t.)</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 8			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization given for Examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	• ,		
,			
2014			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)	